## RAMSEY --

This is PRECISELY the same material we saw before, and with only
MINOR changes in the prose. There is some reorganization; Maurice's
garbage is stuck in between paras 1 & 2 of old "introduction", and simply
photographed. Wext four pages STHL tell reader what he did, and why (the
part John H. said he refused to read beyond). Next four pages ("Foreign
Reactions") are an edited version of old "Initial Reactions" which I always
thought was easily coordinat-able. Next six pages are a the old "New
Elements" section re-titled "Impact on Policies Aborad" (most are cut-andpaste photographs of original version). These pages are (1) a gross
duplication of our aikely responses section, and make us all look bad
if the two papers are meant to be in any way complimentary.; and (2)
interrupt the otherwise sensible flow of this paper. Concluding 3
pages get back on the track of summarizing what the country studies say;
my few specific suggestions are pencilled in.

In short, I STROMGLY suggest: Eleminate opening paragraph and from bottom of page three through page six/ (what we have done and why we did it) as well as pages 11-16 (duplicate estimate). There then would be a very neat summary to the country studies, with the following organization: Conclusions; Foreign Reactions; Impact on Foreign Economies. They might want to add another section on "Counteractions", to make the summary conform better with the country studies.

STAT

Next 18 Page(s) In Document Exempt